



APPLICATION NO.

10/621,684

23377

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

07/17/2003

10/01/2004

7590

1650 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP

ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

TJU-2858 1770

EXAMINER

PONNALURI, PADMASHRI

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1639

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Scott A. Waldman

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,684	WALDMAN, SCOTT A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
Restriction purposes only	Padmashri Ponnaluri	1639
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become AB4	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apority documents have been reused and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to a conjugated compound comprising a ST receptor binding cyrolic stable agent moiety, and an active agent; and a pharmaceutical composition comprising the conjugate, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
 - II. Claim 11, drawn to a method of treating an individual suspected of suffering from metastasized colorectal cancer, classified in class 436, subclass 64.
 - III. Claims 12-19, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier; a conjugate comprising a ST receptor binding moiety, and a radioactive agent, classified in class 424, subclass 1.11.
 - IV. Claim 20, drawn to a method of radio imaging metastasized colorectal cancer cells, classified in class 436, subclass 504.
 - V. Claim 21, drawn to a method of treating an individual suspected of suffering from metastasized colorectal cancer by administering a pharmaceutically active carrier, a conjugate comprising a ST receptor binding moiety; and radio stable agent, classified in class 436, subclass 57.
 - VI. Claim 21, drawn to a method of treating an individual suspected of suffering from metastasized colorectal cancer by administering a pharmaceutically active carrier, a conjugate comprising a ST receptor binding moiety; and radio active agent, classified in class 436, subclass 57.

Art Unit: 1639

VII. Claim 22, drawn to a method of delivering a nucleic acid to intestinal tracer cells a pharmaceutical composition comprising a) a pharmaceutical carrier; b) a composition comprising a <u>ST receptor ligand</u>, and a nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Further Restriction

In addition Group I detailed above reads on patentably distinct Groups. Group I is further divided into multiple groups each representing a different conjugate. The different ST receptor binding moiety (i.e., SEQ ID NO 2, 3, 5-56, fragments, and derivatives) do no have a distinguishable core structure. And further the radio stable active moiety in the conjugate is structurally and functionally different (i.e., bacterial toxins, enzymes, antibiotics), and belong to different class of compounds, and unrelated to each other, which in turn with the combination of the ST receptor binding moiety would result in several different conjugates. Thus a further restriction is applied to group I.

The elected further Group must result in a single specific conjugate, i.e., a conjugate comprising a) SEQ ID NO: 2, as ST receptor binding moiety; and b) methotrexate as the active moiety.

This requirement is not to be taken as an election of species, but rather as an election of a single invention, since each product is assumed to be a patentably distinct invention, in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of group I and group III are drawn to different conjugate compositions. Group I conjugate compositions have a ST receptor binding moiety, a radio stable agent; which is

Art Unit: 1639

different from the group II conjugate compositions comprising a ST receptor binding moiety, and a radioactive agent. The compositions of group I and group III are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different reagents, different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Thus, restriction between the groups is proper.

- 3. Inventions of groups II, IV, V, VI, VII are all drawn to different methods. The different methods use different reagents, and the result of the each method is different. The inventions of groups II, IV, V and VI are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).
- 4. Inventions of group I (products) and group II (method) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the group I multiple products (see the further restriction) can be used in the group II method. Thus, restriction between the groups is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Even though the groups are classified in the same class/subclass, this has no effect on the non-patent literature search. Different inventions or groups would require completely different searches in non-patent databases, and there is no exception that the searches would be co-

Art Unit: 1639

extensive. Therefore, these do not create an undo search burden, and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 8. If applicants elect either group II, III, IV, V or VI, applicants are further requested to elect a single species for each of the following:
- a) A single species of ST receptor binding moiety;
- b) A single species of radio active agent;
- c) A single species of radio stable agent (groups II, V);
- d) A single species of ST receptor ligand (group VII).

The different species of each of groups (a-d) are structurally and functionally different from each other and do not require the other for ultimate use. Thus the species election is proper.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1639

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an 9. election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Padmashri Ponnaluri whose telephone number is 571-272-0809. The examiner is on Increased Flex Schedule and can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 7 AM and 3.30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Padmashri Ponnaluri Primary Examiner Art Unit 1639

30 September 2004

PADMASHRI PONNALURI